

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-26-0017-PR

NGUYEN v STEPHENSON et al

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 15-Jan-2026
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. LONG M. NGUYEN, Plaintiff/Appellant

(Litigant Group) LONG M. NGUYEN

- Long M Nguyen

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellant

C Adam Buck, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 23128)

Side 2. ELIZABETH A. STEPHENSON, et al., Defendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) ELIZABETH A. STEPHENSON, et al.

- Elizabeth A Stephenson
- James P Stephenson

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee

John Duke Harris, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 7407)

CASE STATUS

Jan 15, 2026....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CV 24-0122				
↶ MAR	CV2023-051810	Breach of Contract	Melissa Iyer Julian, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

17 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 15-Jan-2026 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time for Defendants'/Appellees' New Counsel to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Defendants/Appellees Stephenson, et al.)
- 20-Jan-2026 Appellees Stephenson, et al. filed a "Motion for Extension of Time for Defendants'/Appellees' New Counsel to File Petition for Review" on January 15, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellee's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by January 27, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 22-Jan-2026 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order; Certificate of Service (Defendants/Appellees Stephenson, et al.)
- 22-Jan-2026 A "Motion for Procedural Order" (Defendants/Appellees Stephenson, et al.) having been filed on January 22, 2026,

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review to no later than February 4, 2026. No further extensions of time will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by February 4, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 23-Jan-2026 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 4-Feb-2026 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Defendants/Appellees Stephenson, et al.)
- 5-Feb-2026 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 12-Feb-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00047 ; \$330.00, Authorization: 8568192677105571, Applied to: ELIZABETH A. STEPHENSON, et al. - Class A Filing Fee (\$330.00) Paid for: ELIZABETH A. STEPHENSON, et al. - By nCourt LLC
- 6-Mar-2026 FILED: Response in Opposition to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Plaintiff/Appellant Nguyen,
- 12-Mar-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00077 ; \$165.00, Authorization: 83383155226721566, Applied to: LONG M. NGUYEN - Class B Filing Fee (\$165.00) Paid for: LONG M. NGUYEN - By nCourt LLC Portal
- 13-Mar-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00082 ; \$165.00, Authorization: 83383155226721566, Applied to: LONG M. NGUYEN - Overpayment Class B (\$165.00) Paid for: LONG M. NGUYEN - By nCourt LLC (Memo: Replaces Receipt No. 2026-00077, remove "portal" from paid by)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-26-0017-PR

NGUYEN v STEPHENSON et al

17 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

12. 12-Mar-2026 FILED: Appellees Stephenson's Motion to File Reply Pleading to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Defendants/Appellees Stephenson, et al.)
13. 17-Mar-2026 VOID RECEIPT No.: 2026-00077 - \$-165.00, Authorization: 83383155226721566, - Applied to: LONG M. NGUYEN - Class B Filing Fee (\$-165.00) Paid for: LONG M. NGUYEN - By: nCourt LLC Portal VOID Reason: Receipt No. 2026-00077 (Issued on 3/12/2026) Replaced by: No.2026-00082 Amount: \$165.00 Litigant Group: Long M Nguyen. Reason: remove "portal" from "paid by." ACN
14. 31-Mar-2026 FILED: Appellant Long M. Nguyen's Response in Opposition to Appellees' Motion to File Reply Pleading to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Plaintiff/Appellant Nguyen)
15. 8-Apr-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00101 ; \$165.00, Authorization: 83383155226721566, Applied to: LONG M. NGUYEN - Class B Filing Fee (\$165.00) Paid for: LONG M. NGUYEN - By nCourt LLC (Memo: Replaces Receipt No. 2026-00082 "Overpayment Class B" was selected instead of "Class B filing Fee")
16. 8-Apr-2026 VOID RECEIPT No.: 2026-00082 - \$-165.00, Authorization: 83383155226721566, - Applied to: LONG M. NGUYEN - Overpayment Class B (\$-165.00) Paid for: LONG M. NGUYEN - By: nCourt LLC (Memo: Replaces Receipt No. 2026-00077, remove "portal" from paid by) VOID Reason: Void receipt No. 2026-00082 for \$165 from account Nguyen (Issued on 3/13/2026). "Overpayment class B" was selected instead of "Class B filing fee" The replacement receipt No. is 2026-00101. ACN
17. 10-Apr-2026 The Court has received Petitioners' Motion to File Reply Pleading to Petition for Review. In this motion, Petitioners seek permission to submit a reply in support of their petition for review. Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 23(f)(4).
- The Court has also received Respondent's response in opposition to Petitioners' motion. Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 6(a)(2). Respondent argues that Petitioners have not established exceptional circumstances to justify a reply and that Petitioners' motion seeks to relitigate merits arguments rather than address new matters from the response to the petition for review. Respondent also argues that he would be prejudiced if Petitioners were permitted to file a reply.
- Upon consideration, the Court finds that Petitioners have not established that a reply is appropriate under these circumstances. Accordingly,
- IT IS ORDERED denying Petitioners' Motion to File Reply Pleading to Petition for Review.
- The Court will consider the petition for review in due course. (Hon. William G. Montgomery)